Development of a 2-Aza-Cope-[3 + 2] Dipolar Cycloaddition Strategy for the Synthesis of Quaternary Proline Scaffolds

Michael P. McCormack,[†] Tamila Shalumova,[‡] Joseph M. Tanski,[‡] and Stephen P. Waters^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, The University of Vermont, 82 University Place, Burlington, Vermont 05405, and Department of Chemistry, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, New York 12604

stephen.waters@uvm.edu

Received July 12, 2010

ORGANIC LETTERS 2010 Vol. 12, No. 17 3906-3909

ABSTRACT



A one-pot multicomponent procedure for the synthesis of highly functionalized pyrrolidine rings through a domino 2-aza-Cope-[3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition sequence has been demonstrated. This protocol was found to be both high-yielding and stereoselective for the *endo* cycloadduct.

The pyrrolidine ring represents a prevalent scaffold for many compounds of pharmaceutical interest, as well as a structural element common to the *Amaryllidaceae* and *Erythrina* alkaloids.¹ The development of mild, efficient, and operationally simple methods for their construction continues to warrant interest. As chemical synthesis places an ever-

increasing emphasis on concise molecular assembly, processes that rapidly assemble complex structures in a stereocontrolled fashion are highly desirable. Toward these ends, multicomponent reactions have played an important role.² The orchestration of two or more distinct chemical events involving multiple components permits a magnification of structural complexity in a minimal number of synthetic steps.³ In the context of heterocyclic chemistry, strategic extensions of the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement could potentially meet these criteria. Specifically, the merging of the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement with a [3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition reaction could provide new and more concise routes to a variety of pyrrolidine-containing targets.

[†] The University of Vermont.

[‡] Vassar College.

For reviews of these alkaloid classses, see: (a) Lewis, J. R. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1993, 10, 291–299. (b) Martin, S. F. In The Alkaloids; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1987; Vol. 30, p 252. (c) Tsuda, Y.; Sano, T. In The Alkaloids; Cordell, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1996; Vol. 48, p 239. For selected syntheses, see: (d) Jin, J.; Weinreb, S. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2050–2051. (e) Overman, L. E.; Shim, J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4662–4672. (f) Ishizaki, M.; Hoshino, O.; Iitaka, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7079–7082. (g) Pansare, S. V.; Lingampally, R.; Kirby, R. L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 556–559. (h) Jones, M. T.; Schwartz, B. D.; Willis, A. C.; Banwell, M., G. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3506–3509. (i) Yamada, K.; Yamashita, M.; Sumiyoshi, T.; Nishimura, K.; Tomioka, K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1631–1633.

^{(2) (}a) Dömling, A. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 17–89. (b) Dömling, A.; Ugi, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3168–3210.

^{(3) (}a) Parsons, J. P.; Penkett, C. S.; Shell, A. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 195–206. (b) Tietze, L. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 115–136.

The 2-aza-Cope rearrangement affords imine or iminium products, which could in principle be used directly for additional carbon–carbon bond-forming events. A classic example of this strategy is well illustrated by Overman's aza-Cope–Mannich reaction (Scheme 1).⁴ In this powerful



variant, a charge-accelerated cationic 2-aza-Cope rearrangement affords an iminium ion having an enol moiety correctly positioned for Mannich cyclization. The utility of this process has been amply demonstrated by Overman⁵ and others⁶ in many elegant total syntheses. Bennett and others have used a 2-aza-Cope-iminium ion solvolysis protocol to prepare allylglycine derivatives.⁷

Inspired by these advancements, we took interest in developing conceptually new unions of the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement with other carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions. We first envisioned that the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement could be used to prepare azomethine ylide precursors for direct use in [3 + 2] dipolar cycloadditions. Such a strategy would capitalize on the considerable bond-reorganization properties of the Cope rearrangement, as well as the diversity and facility of azomethine ylide cycloaddition.

(6) (a) Brummond, K. M.; Hong, S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 907–916.
(b) Agami, C.; Cases, M.; Couty, F. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7937–7940.

Condensation of a homoallylic amine with a glyoxylate ester would provide imine **I** (Scheme 1), which after thermodynamically driven 2-aza-Cope rearrangement would afford imine **II**. The addition of a metal/amine base pair would result in the formation of a metalated azomethine ylide for subsequent [3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition with a wide range of dipolarophiles.⁸

Central to our plans was the need for stereocontrol. Cycloaddition could potentially generate up to four stereogenic centers, with at least one quaternary, within the proline cycloadduct. Predictions as to which stereoisomers will dominate would require analyses of both azomethine ylide geometry as well as *endo* versus *exo* approach of the dipolarophile. Cognizant that imines bearing electron-withdrawing groups (e.g., **II**) lead to metalated azomethine ylides with rigid geometries,⁹ more accurate predictions regarding the stereochemical outcome should be possible. As a result, the 2-aza-Cope-[3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition sequence should stereoselectively generate functionalized proline scaffolds bearing a quaternary center.

Our survey of substrates centered on homoallylamines 1a-e (Figure 1),¹⁰ ethyl glyoxylate (2) as the aldehyde



component, and dipolarophiles 3a-c. For our initial optimization studies, homoallylic primary amine 1a, ethyl glyoxylate (2), and *N*-phenyl maleimide (3a) were selected, and each step of the process was monitored via ¹H NMR analysis of sequential aliquots. Condensation of 1a and 2 was rapid (15 min) at rt in toluene (concentration 0.33 M) to afford an imine product of type I (Scheme 1).¹¹ Bringing the mixture to reflux for 2 h effected clean 2-aza-Cope rearrangement, determined to be complete and quantitative

⁽⁴⁾ For a recent perspective, see: Overman, L. E. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, 65, 6432–6446. For earlier accounts, see: (a) Overman, L. E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1992**, 25, 352–359. (b) Jacobsen, E. J.; Levin, J.; Overman, L. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4329–4336.

⁽⁵⁾ For selected examples, see: (a) Martin, C. L.; Overman, L. E.; Rohde,
J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7568–7569. (b) Dunn, T. B.; Ellis,
J. M.; Kofink, C. C.; Manning, J. R.; Overman, L. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11,
5658–5661. (c) Knight, S. D.; Overman, L. E.; Pairaudeau, G. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1995, 117, 5776–5788.

 ^{(7) (}a) Bennett, D. J.; Hamilton, N. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 7961–7964.
 (b) Agami, C.; Couty, F.; Lin, J.; Mikeaeloff, A.; Poursoulis, M. *Tetrahedron* 1993, *49*, 7239–7250.

^{(8) (}a) Pandey, G.; Banerjee, P.; Gadre, S. R. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4484–4517. (b) Coldham, I.; Hufton, R. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2765–2809.
(c) Najera, C.; Sansano, J. M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2003, 7, 1105–1150.

^{(9) (}a) Grigg, R.; Sridharan, V. In Advances in Cycloaddition; Curran, D. P., Ed.; JAI Press: London, 1993; Vol. 3, p 161. (b) Grigg, R.; Montgomery, J.; Somasunderam, A. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 10431–10442.
(c) Grigg, R.; Gunaratne, H. Q. N. Chem. Commun. 1982, 384–386. (d) Grigg, R.; Kemp, J.; Malone, J.; Tangthongkum, A. Chem. Commun. 1980, 648–650.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Preparation of 1a, 1b, and 1e: (a) Hart, D. J.; Kanai, K.; Thomas, D. G.; Yang, T. K. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 289–294. Preparation of 1d: (b) McCoy, C. P.; Morrow, R. J.; Edwards, C. R.; Jones, D. S.; Gorman, S. P. Bioconjugate Chem. 2007, 18, 209–215. (c) Felpin, F. X.; Girard, S.; Vo-Thanh, G.; Robins, R. J.; Villiéras, J.; Lebreton, J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6305–6312. For the preparation of 1c, see Supporting Information.

by ¹H NMR, to furnish a new imine of type **II**. When the dipolarophile (1.5 equiv), AgOAc (1.5 equiv), and Et₃N (2.0 equiv) were added to the reaction mixture and stirred at rt, azomethine ylide formation and [3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition occurred within 6 h to provide 2-allyl proline **4a** (entry 1, Table 1) in 84% yield. Stereochemical analysis of **4a** by 1-D

Table 1. Exploring the Substrate Scope of the 2-Aza-Cope-[3 + 2] Dipolar Cycloaddition: Homoallylamines 1a-e and Maleimide $3a^a$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: homoallylic amine 1a-e (1.0 mmol), ethyl glyoxylate (**2**, 1.0 mmol), 4 Å MS, toluene (3 mL), reflux, 2–3 h; then add *N*-phenyl maleimide (**3a**, 1.5 mmol), AgOAc (1.5 mmol), Et₃N (2.0 mmol), rt, 6 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yields after flash column chromatography on silica gel or recrystallization.

NOE enhancement experiments revealed that the cycloaddition exhibited *endo*-selectivity as evidenced from throughspace coupling of the protons indicated below (Figure 2), which was unambiguously confirmed via X-ray crystallographic analysis.

The scope of the 2-aza-Cope-[3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition was next extended to other homoallyl amine substrates (Table 1). For all cases, only the *endo* cycloadducts were detected



Figure 2. NOE enhancements and X-ray crystal structure of 4a.

by ¹H NMR analysis of the reaction mixtures. Each product (4a-e) displayed NOE enhancements consistent with the stereoisomer expected to result from cycloaddition of a W-shaped azomethine ylide through endo approach of the dipolarophile, as drawn in Scheme 1. The only other compounds observed in the reaction mixtures were variable amounts of unreacted imine after 2-aza-Cope rearrangement. The overall yields were generally good and, as anticipated, appeared to be dependent on the electronic characteristics of the aromatic moiety. Electron-deficient *p*-trifluoromethylbenzyl amine 1c gave a higher yield (97%) than electronrich *p*-methoxybenzyl amine **1b** (70% yield), likely attributable to increased acidity and therefore more facile azomethine ylide formation in the case of substrates bearing electron-withdrawing groups. Gratifyingly, 3-pyridinyl amine 1d also underwent the transformation in good yield (87%). Overall, furanylamine **1e** was the only difficult substrate as the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement required an additional 1 h and the resultant imine did not readily undergo either azomethine ylide formation or [3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition even after 14 h of stirring at rt (entry 5, Table 1).

We also investigated the reactivities of two other dipolarophiles, dimethyl maleate (**3b**) and dimethyl fumarate (**3c**, Table 2). For each homoallylamine substrate (**1a**-**e**) explored, the overall yields were good and were generally found to parallel those observed with *N*-phenyl maleimide (**3a**), with yields somewhat better for reactions with fumarate **3c** (entries 6–10, Table 2) than maleate **3b** (entries 1–5). The relative stereochemistries of the isolated 2-allyl prolines **4f**-**o** once again proved to be those consistent with [3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition via an *endo* transition state maleate with a W-shaped azomethine ylide.

In summary, we demonstrate here the first examples of a one-pot domino 2-aza-Cope-[3 + 2] dipolar cycloaddition for the synthesis of functionalized 2-allyl proline derivatives. The resultant allyl and ester moieties provide convenient points for additional structural elaboration. Importantly, the multicomponent nature of the protocol is evident as a wide variety of amine, glyoxylic ester, and dipolarophile components could potentially be used. The reaction stereoselectively generates four new chiral centers and one quarternary carbon

Table 2. Extending the Substrate Scope of the 2-Aza-Cope-[3 + 2] Dipolar Cycloaddition with Dimethyl Maleate and Dimethyl Fumarate^{*a*}



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: homoallylic amine **1a**-e (1.0 mmol), ethyl glyoxylate (**2**, 1.0 mmol), 4 Å MS, toluene (3 mL), reflux, 2–3 h; then add **3b** or **3c** (1.5 mmol), AgOAc (1.5 mmol), Et₃N (2.0 mmol), rt, 6 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yields after flash column chromatography on silica gel or recrystallization.

with a high degree of functionalization around a pyrrolidine ring, and we anticipate applying this strategy toward the synthesis of alkaloid natural products. Our route to azomethine ylide precursors via the 2-aza-Cope rearrangement is complementary to the condensation of aldehydes with allylglycine derivatives and may afford azomethine ylides not accessible by other means. The transition to nonbenzylic homoallylic amine components, monoactivated dipolarophiles, and catalytic asymmetric variants¹² are all important avenues currently under investigation.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the University of Vermont for financial support. This work was

also generously supported by the Vermont Genetics Network through Grant No. P20 RR16462 from the INBRE Program of the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). X-ray facilities at Vassar College were provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant No. 0521237 to J.M.T.). We thank Vic Parcell and Dr. Lynn Teesch at the High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of Iowa for obtaining highresolution mass spectra.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, and NMR spectra for all new compounds. X-ray crystallographic information for 2-allyl proline **4a** in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL101606V

⁽¹¹⁾ We found it convenient to conduct the reactions in the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves, thereby reducing the possibility of byproduct formation from competing processes with water.

^{(12) (}a) Longmire, J. M.; Wang, B.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13400–13401. (b) Chen, C.; Li, X.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10174–10175. (c) Zeng, W.; Zhou, Y. G. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5055–5058.